

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE :  
LITIGATION :

----- :  
IN RE LOWER MANHATTAN DISASTER SITE :  
LITIGATION :  
----- :

----- :  
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE :  
AND LOWER MANHATTAN DISASTER SITE :  
LITIGATION :  
----- x

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 3/15/11

**ORDER GRANTING**  
**MOTION TO PRESERVE**  
**PRIVILEGE**

21 MC 100 (AKH)  
21 MC 102  
21 MC 103

Napoli Bern expresses concern that my recent Order affirming the appointment of Noah H. Kushlefsky, Esq., somehow will cause Napoli Bern to waive its attorney-client and work product privileges. See Order Denying Motion to Vacate Order Appointing Counsel, 21 MC 100 (Doc. No. 2383) (S.D.N.Y. March 11, 2011). Napoli Bern therefore seeks an order extending these privileges to Mr. Kushlefsky. Napoli Bern's motion, although academic, is granted as to such privileges.

The "common interest" exception to the attorney-client privilege provides, generally, that an attorney may communicate confidential information to another attorney if they are engaged in a joint effort. United States v. Schwimmer, 892 F.2d 237, 243 (2d Cir. 1989). The exception applies here: Mr. Kushlefsky and his firm, and Napoli Bern, are functioning jointly, united in the interest of serving these 59 Plaintiffs. Napoli Bern should make full disclosures to Mr. Kushlefsky without concern that it will waive the attorney-client privilege.

The work product privilege is waived only if the disclosure substantially increases the opportunity for potential adversaries to obtain the information. Falise v. Am. Tobacco Co., 193 F.R.D. 73, 80 (E.D.N.Y. 2000). Sharing documents with a person who has a common interest with regard to the client does not waive the privilege. Pascuiti v. New York Yankees, 98


Civ. 8186, 1999 WL 983882, at \*2 n.3 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 29, 1999). Mr. Kushlefsky and his firm have the same fiduciary obligations to these 59 Plaintiffs as Napoli Bern has. There is no risk that disclosure will benefit an adversary. Disclosure to Mr. Kushlefsky will not work a waiver of the work product privilege.

As time is of the essence, Napoli Bern shall promptly comply with the terms of my Order of March 11, 2011.

The Clerk shall terminate the motion (Doc. No. 2386).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 15 2011  
New York, New York

  
ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN  
United States District Judge